level only slightly higher than that of a powered, nonLAL static air surface. The evaporative capacity was, in fact, actually significantly less than that of the foam-topped static air surface.

The results reported here indicate that some combinations of incontinence pads and linens can adversely affect the ability of a LAL surface to manage the microclimate of the skin. More work is needed to build on the results of this study and to assess further the effect of the skin microclimate on pressure ulcer incidence.

Limitations

Data are not available to identify which specific variables (interface pressure or microclimate management) have a greater impact on the progression of skin damage that leads to pressure ulcer formation; the presence of linens have been reported to affect both.25 In addition, the number of combinations of linen products intended for surface use is very large; therefore, it is difficult to test anything beyond a small set of configurations. The present study only used a small portion of currently available products. More research is needed to determine the common characteristics of linen products that do and do not severely impact skin microclimate and other factors that may affect skin integrity. Although the SGHP method used is believed to accurately measure the heat and H₂O withdrawal characteristics of the support surface/line configuration, the fact that the linens were smoothed free of wrinkles for measurement reproducibility represe parture from the true clinical situation. It is uncl r what ef fect pad wrinkling would have on performance.

Conclusion

A less-than-optimal skin microclip y increase the risk of skin breakdown. The results of this study ustrate that the presence of linens on the bed often red s the ability of a LAL surface to combat heat and n sture accumulation at uggests that caregivthe skin/support surface in rface. This ers should try to limit a e proace of livens on the surfaces to products that are absolutely nece y. This is particularly true when using his e, therapeutic surfaces such as LAL, the performance of which is most likely to be affected. In this study, the use of a linen configuration had widely varying effec face heat withdrawal capacity depending on the ation. Compared with heat withdrawal level ific confi sp $.5 \text{ W/m}^2 \text{ for }$ the fitted sheet alone, heat withdrawal levels of inen combinations varied from 25.8 W/m² to arious Se of nine layers reduced the heat withdrawal to the l rel of a static nonLAL surface. Effects on evaporative capaci were also highly variable. One configuration caused a ificant increase in evaporative capacity over the fitted sheet done, while other configurations reduced evaporative capacity as much as 99%. The lowest evaporative capacity was associated with the use of a plastic-backed pad. In direct comparison, plastic-backed pads interfered with heat withdrawal and evaporative capacity more than nonplastic-backed pads. It is

hoped that the data presented can assist caregivers with decisions regarding interventions.

References

- Fisher AR, Wells G, Harrison MB. Factors associated with pressure para adults in acute care hospitals. Adv Skin Wound Care. 2004;17(2):40-9
- Lachenbruch C, VanGilder C, Williamson R, Harrison P, Meyero. Ener a age according to care setting, support surface and Braden S pre: data from the 2011 International Pressure Ulcer Prevalence[™] Survey Post presented at the Symposium on Advanced Wound Care (SAWC). It is Vegate W. October 13-15, 2011.
- Coleman S, Gorecki C, Nelson EA, Closs SJ, Defloor T, Halfens R, ed. Patient risk: factors for pressure ulcer developming systematic review. Int J Nurs Stud. 2013;50(7):974–1003..
- National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel and European Presser Olcer Advisory Panel. Final Pressure Ulcer Treater Technica Report, 2009. Available at: www.guideline.gov/content.asyxlid=25139&sear h=pressure+ulcer. Accessed July 6, 2013.
- Frankenfield D, Roth-Yousey L Compher C. Gomparison of predictive equations for resting metabolic r in healthy nonobese and obese adults: a systematic review. J Annu jetetic proc. 2005 105(5):775–789.
- Brandeis K. Fluid Physiology. In Oning Control Available at: www.anaesthesiaMCQ.com. Accessed September 20, 2008.
- 7. DuBois EF. Basil Metabolism in Puttbrand Disease, 3rd ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lea and Enger;1936.
- Kokate JY, Lelan KJ, Held AN, Hansen GL, Kveen GI, Johnson BA, et al. Temper Concorrect pressure ulcers: a porcine model. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 1999 76(7):64-677.
- Ia. P. Temperature megalation of pressure ulcer formation: using a swine mode. VOVNDS. 2004;16(11):337–343.
- D. Flam E. S. Maintenance in the bed-ridden patient. Ostomy Wound Manag, 1990;2, 1:46–54.
- Liber JP, Candas V, Vogt JJ. Effect of change in skin temperature on local sweating rate. J Appl Physiol. 1979;47(2):306–311.
- 2. Park AG, Baddiel CB. Rheology of stratum corneum-I: a molecular interpretation of the stress-strain curve J Soc Cosmetic Chemists. 1972;23:3–12.
- Shanahan N. Clinical study: assessing the performance and skin environments of two reusable underpads. Ostomy Wound Manage. 1994;40(8):30–37.
- Nicholson GP, Scales JT, Clark RP, de Calcina-Goff ML. A method for determining the heat transfer and water vapor permeability of patient support systems. *Med Eng Phys.* 1999;21(10):701–712.
- National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel Support Surface Standards Initiative (NPUAP/S3i). Standard Protocol for Measuring Heat and Moisture Dissipation Characteristics of Full Body Support Surfaces – Sweating Guarded Hot Plate (SGHP) Method. In press;2013.
- Reger SI, Adams TC, Maklebust JA, Sahgal V. Validation test for climate control on air-loss supports. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2001;82(5):597–603.
- McConville JT, Churchill TD. Anthropometric Relationships of Body and Body Segment Moments of Inertia. Air Force Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory-TR-80-119, 1980. Available at: www.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/ a097238.pdf. Accessed December 21, 2012.
- 18. Microsoft Corporation. Microsoft Excel 2010, Seattle, WA.
- National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel Support Surface Standards Initiative (NPUAP/S3i). Standard Protocol for Measuring Heat and Water Vapor Dissipation Characteristics of Full Body Support Surfaces – Body Analog Method. Approved at semi-annual NPUAP/S3Imeeting, February, 2013, Houston, TX. In press;2013.
- VanGilder C, Lachenbruch C. Air-fluidized therapy: physical properties and clinical uses. *Ann Plast Surg.* 2010; 65(3):364–370. 21. Nave CR. Latent Heat of Vaporization. 2012. Available at: http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu. edu/hbase/thermo/phase.html. Accessed June 18, 2012.
- Williamson R, Sauser F. Linen Usage
 Impact on Pressure and Microclimate Management. Poster presented at the National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (NPUAP) Conference. Arlington, VA. February 27–28, 2009.
- Albanese M, Preston AM. Skin Care Clinical Nurse Champions: Protecting the Bottom Line. Available at: https://ana.confex.com/ana/ndnqi10/webprogram/Paper3576.html. Accessed June 18, 2012.
- 24. Lachenbruch C, VanGilder C, Williamson R, Harrison P, Meyer S. Linen Usage According to Care Setting, Support Surface and Braden Score: Data from the 2011 International Pressure Ulcer Prevalence[™] Survey. Poster presented at the Symposium on Advanced Wound Care (SAWC), Las Vegas, NV. October 11–13, 2011.
- Williamson R, Lachenbruch C, VanGilder C. The effect of multiple layers of linens on surface interface pressure: a laboratory study. *Ostomy Wound Manage.* 2013;59(6):38–47.